

PLAVERD

NO. CPL-HHD CV16-6068484S : STATE OF CONNECTICUT
EUGENE ROBERTO : SUPERIOR COURT
v. : COMPLEX LITIGATION DOCKET
: JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF HARTFORD

BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., ET AL. : MAY 15, 2019

VERDICT FORM

I. LIABILITY: NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN

A. BREACH OF DUTY TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE WARNINGS AND INSTRUCTIONS

1. Has the Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that BI breached its duty to provide adequate warnings and instructions for the appropriate use of Pradaxa?

YES ✓ NO

If you answered "YES" to Question 1, please go to section B, Legal Causation. If you answered "NO" to Question 1, your deliberations are complete and the foreperson should sign this Verdict Form.

B. CAUSATION

2. Has the plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that, with the additional warnings or instructions, Dr. D'Angelo would have decided either not to prescribe Pradaxa or would have altered his discussion of the medication with the plaintiff in a way that would have led the plaintiff to decide not to take Pradaxa?

YES ✓ NO

3. If the answer to Question 2 is "YES," has the plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he would not have experienced a bleed of similar severity on another anticoagulant?

YES ✓ NO

304.00

4. If the answer to Question 3 is "YES," did the plaintiff prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Pradaxa was a proximate cause of the bleed?

YES ✓ NO _____

If you answered "YES" to all of Questions 2, 3, and 4, please go to section II, Damages. If you answered "NO" to any of Questions 2, 3, or 4, your deliberations are complete and the foreperson should sign this Verdict Form.

II. DAMAGES

A. COMPENSATORY DAMAGES

The plaintiff has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that he is entitled to the following compensatory damages:

Economic Damages: \$42,464.45

Noneconomic Damages: \$500,000.00

B. PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Has the Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that punitive damages should be awarded against Boehringer Ingelheim?

YES ✓ NO _____

III. CONCLUSION

We, the jury, unanimously reach this verdict.

Signed at Hartford, Connecticut, this 17 day of May, 2019, at 10:47 (a.m./p.m.).

By Stephen R. Levesque , Foreperson of the Jury

Print Name Stephen R. Levesque